Ingrid Brostrom, CA SBN 245,829 Alegria De La Cruz, CA SBN 229,713 Brent Newell, CA SBN 210,312 CENTER ON RACE, POVERTY & THE ENVIRONMENT 47 Kearny Street, Suite 804 San Francisco, CA 94108 415/346-4179 Fax: 415/346-8723 Attorneys for Complainants BEFORE THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION El Pueblo Para El Aire y Agua Limpio; Kids Protecting our Planet Complainants, ٧. Board of Supervisors of Kings County, 16 Respondents. 18 19

COMPLAINT UNDER TITLE VI OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, 42

U.S.C. § 2000d, 40 C.F.R. Part 7 and 24 C.F.R. Part 1.

I. INTRODUCTION

This is a civil rights complaint by El Pueblo para el Aire y Agua Limpio and Kids Protecting our Planet (collectively "El Pueblo"), under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and its implementing regulations against Kings County for discriminating on the basis of race and national origin in approving the expansion of the Chemical Waste Management Kettleman Hills Hazardous Waste Facility (KHF), and in limiting the participation of the Latino residents of Kettleman City in the decision-making process.

Kettleman City residents have for many years been forced to bear a disproportionate share of the County's environmental dangers by hosting Chem Waste's Kettleman Hills Facility, the largest hazardous waste landfill in the western United States. Kings County's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

20

 discrimination forces residents to bear an even greater proportion of this burden if the County's improperly issued permits for continued operation and expansion are allowed to stand.

The County's decision to approve a hazardous waste dump expansion adjacent to the highest concentration of Latinos in Kings County has a discriminatory impact. Kettleman City—which already suffers from disproportionate exposure to chemicals, air pollution, and contaminated water—has recently experienced an alarming spike in unexplained birth defects and infant mortality. The County moved forward with its decision without adequately assessing the source of these acute health effects in the community, or otherwise addressing the project's adverse and discriminatory impacts.

In addition to the project approval's discriminatory impact, the County intentionally discriminated against Latino residents by systematically limiting their participation in the decision-making process. Most Kettleman City residents' first language is Spanish, and a high percentage are monolingual Spanish. In spite of Kettleman City residents' continued request and demand for documents in Spanish, the County provided documents in an Englishonly format. Further, the County excluded Latino residents from the Local Assessment Committee in clear violation of the Tanner Act. Finally, the County provided Spanish speakers only half as much time to testify at public hearings as their non-Spanish speaker counterparts. The County's permitting process was the result of intentional discrimination and had a discriminatory impact—two separate grounds for Title VI action.

This complaint will show all four elements required to make a prima facie case of a violation of Title VI under U.S. Department of Transportation implementing regulations: (1) the County's action has an impact; (2) that is discriminatory on the basis of race, color, or national origin; (3) caused by a recipient of federal financial assistance; (4) within the statute of limitations period.

II. THE COMPLAINANTS

Complainant El Pueblo Para El Aire y Agua Limpio/People for Clean Air and Water is

an unincorporated association of Kettleman City residents. El Pueblo's primary interest is in protecting the health of Kettleman City residents and in preserving and enhancing the environment and promoting justice in Kettleman City and other similar communities. El Pueblo was founded in 1987 by residents concerned about the impact of a proposed hazardous waste incinerator on the community. El Pueblo and its members submitted written and oral comments during Kings County's permit process.

Complainant El Pueblo Para El Aire y Agua Limpio brings this Civil Rights

Complaint on behalf of the residents of Kettleman City in Kings County, California.

Membership in the organization mirrors the demographics of Kettleman City, which are predominantly Latino.

Kids Protecting Our Planet ("KPOP") is a Kettleman City youth organization. Founded in 2007, KPOP has been active in educating and mobilizing youth and adults to protect the health and environment of Kettleman City. KPOP and its members submitted written and oral comments during Kings County's permit process.

III. RIPENESS

This complaint is timely filed because Kings County's pattern and practice of discrimination against Kettleman City residents is ongoing. Moreover, the most recent action on the permit application is the adoption of a statement of overriding considerations (Resolution No. 09-073) and issuance of a conditional use permit during the December 22, 2009 Kings County Board of Supervisors meeting. *Action Summary*, at 4. This is within the 180-day filing period for a Title VI complaint as required under 40 C.F.R. § 7.120(b)(2) and 24 C.F.R. § 1.7(b).

IV. FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

The Board of Supervisors for Kings County must comply with Department of Transportation's (DOT) Title VI implementing regulations because the County receives substantial federal financial assistance from DOT. Kings County regularly receives federal funding from the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration, both

 of which are divisions of the Department of Transportation. See Draft 2011 Federal Transportation Improvement Program, at 2.

Kings County has also allocated federal funds to several projects related to SR 41 and 1-5, both of which face "significant and unavoidable" negative impacts from the proposed expansion and continued operation of KHF, despite mitigation efforts. FSEIR at 1-42. See Notice of Annual Listing of Federal Obligations, FY 2008-09, FY 2007-08, FY 2006-07, FY 2005-06. The following project Federal ID numbers all relate specifically to Kettleman City: P041113 (2009, at 7), P041091 (Id.), 0054(177) (2008, at 7; 2007, at 7), X031002-00 (2008, at 7; 2006, at 2), and P041094 (2006, at 2).

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. The Community.

Kettleman City is a rural, unincorporated community of 1500 residents. Ninety three percent of the residents of Kettleman City are Hispanic or Latino, and 62 percent are foreign born.¹ In contrast, only 48 percent of the residents of Kings County are Hispanic or Latino, and 21 percent are foreign born.² A significant percentage of Kettleman City residents are employed as farm workers. Kettleman City residents are predominantly language minority. Eighty-eight percent of Kettleman City residents are primarily Spanish-speaking, and 61 percent are monolingual Spanish-speaking.

Kettleman City is economically depressed. Residents have few resources available to cope with the cumulative exposures to environmental stressors such as pesticides applied on nearby fields, diesel trucks on Interstate 5 and Highway 41, the dumping of hazardous waste, solid waste and PCBs, and contaminated drinking water. Residents of Kettleman City also have less occupational and residential mobility, less access to health care, lower income and less political power than other sectors of the Kings County population. In 2000, the per capita

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, Kettleman City CDP, California,
http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/SAFFFacts?_event=Search&geo_id=&_geoContext=&_street=&_county=Ket
tleman | city&_cityTown=Kettleman | city&_state=04000US06&_zip=&_lang=en&_ssc=on&pctxt=fph&pgsl=01
0&show_2003_tab=&redirect=Y (last visited May 25, 2010).

² Id

income for Kettleman City was \$7,389—one third of California's average of \$22,711.

Thirty-eight percent of families and 43.7 percent of Kettleman City residents were below the poverty line in 2000.³

Since September 2007, Kettleman City has seen a sudden and unexpected increase in birth defects. A total of at least 11 babies were born with defects, many of them with cleft palette and various heart and brain defects. Three of the infants died from complications stemming from those birth defects and another was delivered stillborn. Residents estimated that the affected children represented nearly a quarter of Kettleman City births.

B. A History of Discrimination.

Kings County has historically discriminated against language minorities and, as a result, is one of just three counties in California that must obtain pre-clearance from the U.S. Department of Justice before changing any of its voting laws. Kings County has failed to invest tax dollars in Kettleman City and the city is plagued by inferior municipal services. Kettleman City receives fewer and poorer public services than other neighborhoods in Kings County. There are generally no sidewalks, inadequate or non-existent drainage services, poorly maintained roads, few streetlights, inadequate traffic control signs, inadequate law enforcement and 911 emergency services. The County did nothing to remediate the community's contaminated drinking water supply until recent events raised national publicity surrounding Kettleman City's plight. These poor conditions adversely affect the health, safety and dignity of Kettleman City residents.

The County's discriminatory approval of Chem Waste's expansion permit is part of a long history of racist land use decisions affecting Latinos in Kettleman City. In 1979, Kings County permitted Chem Waste to open the largest toxic dump west of Louisiana just 3.5 miles from residents' homes in Kettleman City. Kings County did not inform Kettleman City residents that it was siting the Chem Waste Hazardous Waste landfill adjacent to the community. Kings County took no steps to solicit comment or consent from Kettleman City

³ Id.

residents. Chem Waste's initial siting is part of a disturbing pattern of discriminatory permitting decisions by Kings County that continues today.

The phenomenon of siting polluting facilities in low-income Latino communities was described in the 1984 Cerrell Report, which was commissioned by the California Waste Management Board and funded with taxpayer dollars. That report implicitly advised companies and governmental entities to site waste facilities in small, poor, rural, Catholic communities with low education levels whose residents were engaged in extractive industries—a description that fits Kettleman City and the two other communities that host hazardous waste facilities in the United States. Overall, Latinos comprise 32 percent of the state's population, but Latino communities bear 100 percent of the risk and impact of hosting toxic waste dumps.

In 1988, Kings County accepted Chem Waste's application to establish a toxic waste incinerator in Kettleman City with capacity to burn over 216,000,000 pounds of waste each year. Again, the County did not inform residents about the proposed incinerator. When residents ultimately found out about the proposal, they made extensive efforts to participate in the environmental review process, but were hampered when the County failed to provide the Spanish-speaking residents with translated documents. The County conducted its public hearings in inconvenient locations at inconvenient times, and in fact never held a single hearing in Kettleman City. The County approved the incinerator over residents' vocal opposition. However, a judge later rejected the approval on the grounds that the County's failure to translate materials had unlawfully precluded residents from meaningful involvement, and because the Environmental Impact Report had not sufficiently analyzed impacts to air quality or agriculture.

Cerrell Associates, Inc. Political Difficulties Facing Waste-to-Energy Conversion Plant Siting, 1984.
 See El Pueblo para el Aire y Agua Limplo v. County of Kings, Civ. No. 366045, Ruling on Submitted Matter, 22 Envil. L Rep. 20357 (Sacramento Sup. Ct. Dec. 30, 1991).

The County has since authorized a series of new projects at the hazardous waste site including a municipal solid waste disposal unit, a landfill bioreactor, and a Class II/III landfill over the objections of residents, and despite legitimate and significant environmental concerns.

C. The Hazardous Waste Expansion Project.

In 2005, Chem Waste requested approval from Kings County to increase the hazardous waste disposal capacity at KHF to allow continued operations for an additional 32 years by constructing and operating a new Class I/II hazardous waste landfill (B-20) and expanding an existing Class I/II hazardous waste landfill (B-18). The proposed project would add 221.5 acres of new hazardous waste operations to the area. The new and expanded landfills would accept approximately 2,900 tons of hazardous waste daily, including, but not limited to, materials containing PCBs, cyanides, asbestos, solvents, corrosives, lead, metals, and halogenated organics. The two Class I/II landfills may be operated concurrently for a period of time as the B-18 Landfill nears capacity and disposal operations are shifted to the B-20 Landfill. A combined maximum average of 400 trucks per day may transport waste to the B-18 Landfill or B-20 Landfill.

After all feasible mitigation measures have been imposed, the project will significantly increase ozone, course particulate matter ("PM10") and fine particulate matter ("PM2.5") emissions, result in a significant and unavoidable cancer risk at the KHF property boundary, significantly increase traffic impacts, and contribute to cumulatively considerable and significant greenhouse gas emissions.

The KHF expansion project takes place against a backdrop of repeated environmental violations and fines for failure to meet basic operating standards. Chem Waste has been fined millions of dollars for violations at KHF since it was built, and continues to be the subject of EPA action as recently as this year.

KHF is already the largest commercial hazardous waste landfill in the western United States and is one of only eight facilities in the United States that is permitted to accept PCBs.

 PCBs are a known carcinogen and have been linked to numerous other health impacts.⁶
Perhaps most significant in light of current developments is that PCBs have been linked to birth defects, including the occurrence of cleft palate.⁷

D. The Discriminatory Process.

Upon receiving the request for a permit for expansion of KHF, the County was required to appoint a seven member local assessment committee (LAC) to act in an advisory capacity in considering Chem Waste's application. Kings County carefully selected only one member who actually resides in Kettleman City.* The lone Kettleman City resident on the LAC is one of the few known local supporters of Chemical Waste Management. In response to extensive criticism, Kings County finally selected one Latino to serve on the LAC in January, 2009, just a few years before the end of this multi-year process. This member was unable to effectively participate in the LAC process, however, because his first language is Spanish and all LAC and permit documents were provided only in English.

In spite of residents' repeated requests and the court's 1991 decision, the County consistently refused to translate permit documents or public hearings into Spanish. As a result, the people most affected by the proposed project were not able to fully participate in the public process. When translators were present, they were provided by Chem Waste (FSEIR at 3-200), which concerned residents due to the potential for bias in the translation process (Kings County Planning Commission, Meeting Transcript, October 5, 2009).

⁶ Watanabe and Sugahara, "Experimental formation of cleft palate in mice with polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB)." *Toxicology*. 19:1, 1981; Abstracts and citations for 30 additional studies linking PCBs to cleft palate are available at: http://www.foxriverwatch.com/cleft_palate_pcbs.html.

⁷ PCBs, banned by Congress in 1970 because of their high toxicity, persist in the environment for extremely long periods of time, and travel long distances in the air. They are known to lead to increased risk of many different diseases, including cancer, endocrine disruption, neurobehavioral abnormalities, and immunosuppression. Kudyakov et al.. "Respiratory disease in relation to patient residence near to hazardous waste sites." Environmental Technology and Pharmacology. 2004. PCBs' effect on reproduction and development are particularly severe: shorter menstrual cycle, maternally reduced fecundability, hormonal changes, paternally delayed conception, decreased sperm motility, and irregular menstrual cycle. Decreased birth weight and gestational ages have also been shown to result from exposure. Faroon et al. "Effect of polychlorinated hiphenyls on development and reproduction." Toxicology and Industrial Health. 2001.

⁸ FSEIR, at 3-191 to -96.

During the permit hearing, Spanish-speaking Latinos were allowed only half the time to testify as English speakers. While English speakers were allotted a full five uninterrupted minutes to testify, the County effectively allowed the Spanish-speaking Latinos only 2 ½ minutes to testify—using the other 2 ½ minutes to have the translators provided by the toxic waste company translate the testimony into English. *Meeting Transcript* at 152:16-19.

When Spanish-speaking residents objected at the hearing to being given only half the time to testify, County officials and police threatened them with removal from the hearing. During the hearing, one resident was physically removed by the police for continuing to object to the discriminatory rules. The County officials' warnings to the resident were in English, and his requests for translation of the warning were ignored. In the process of removing the resident, police knocked an elderly resident to the ground.

The County also knowingly ignored the growing evidence of a health crisis within Kettleman City, and approved the expansion without conducting any investigation into a possible link between the hazardous waste dump and the birth defects, in violation of the California Environmental Quality Act. On December 15, 2009, the County requested that California formally investigate potential causes of the birth defect cluster, including the proximity of the Kettleman Hills hazardous waste facility. In spite of their apparent concern, the Kings County Board of Supervisors approved the hazardous waste dump expansion without an investigation on December 22, 2009.

Similar to past permitting votes, after a multi-year process the County held its final vote on a project of utmost public concern during the holiday season when many residents were unable to attend. The timing of the final vote conflicted with family obligations of many concerned residents and had the effect of minimizing attendance and criticism of the permit.

VI. ARGUMENT

Environmental Justice communities enjoy two-fold protection against unlawful discrimination in federally-funded activities and programs. Intentional discrimination against

 minority populations is prohibited under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which provides:

No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.9

The Department of Transportation's Title VI regulations (49 CFR part 21) further prohibit actions by recipients of DOT financial assistance that have an impermissible discriminatory impact on minority populations. Specifically, DOT regulations provide:

A recipient, in determining the types of services . . . or other benefits . . . which will be provided under any such program, or the class of persons to whom, or the situation in which, such services, financial aid, other benefits, or facilities will be provided under any such program . . . may not, directly or through contractual or other arrangements, utilize criteria or methods of administration which have the effect, of subjecting persons to discrimination because their race, color, or national origin, or have the effect of defeating or substantially impairing accomplishment of the objectives of the program with respect to individuals of a particular race, color, or national origin.

49 C.F.R. § 21.5(b)(2)(I), (iv) (emphasis added). In addition, Executive Order 12898, "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations," provides:

To the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law, . . . each Federal agency shall make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations in the United States

FTA Circular 4702.1A provides recipients of FTA financial assistance with "guidance and instructions necessary to carry out the U.S. Department of Transportation's . . . Tile IV regulations . . . and to integrate into their programs and activities considerations expressed in the Department's Order on Environmental Justice (Order 5610.2) " FTA C 4702.1A at 1. The purposes of these requirements and guidance include helping FTA recipients and subrecipients to:

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d.

- a. Identify and address, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health and environmental effects, including the social and economic effect of programs and activities on minority populations and low-income populations;
- b. Prevent the denial, reduction, or delay in benefits related to programs and activities that benefit minority populations or low-income populations;
- c. Ensure meaningful access to programs and activities by persons with limited English proficiency.

FTA C 4702.1A at II-1.

Kings County, a recipient of federal financial assistance from DOT, has violated Title VI as implemented by DOT's regulations. Kings County's December 22, 2009 decision to allow the expansion of the Chem Waste hazardous waste facility adjacent to Kettleman City and the process that led up to that decision were discriminatory.

While there is a nexus between the KHF expansion project and DOT highway funding, DOT's duty to enforce Title VI is not limited to funding by DOT. "Program or activity" is defined as "all the operations of" a department, agency, special purpose district or other instrumentality of a State or of a local government. The Kings County Board of Supervisors is a program or activity under the Act and thus, all County decisions must comply with the requirements of Title VI. As such, DOT can—and must—take action against the County for its violations of Title VI, even where the violations are not related to DOT highway funding.

The County's December 22, 2009 decision to allow the expansion of the Chem Waste Hazardous Waste Facility adjacent to Kettleman City violates the County's statutory and regulatory duty to administer all programs and activities in a nondiscriminatory manner. The County's action exacerbates existing adverse environmental and social impacts in Kettleman City and creates a substantial adverse impact on the community. DOT must take all appropriate action to end Kings County's Title VI violations.

10 42 U.S.C.§ 2000d-4a (1997).

 A. Kings County Unlawfully Approved an Expansion of the Kettleman Hills Facility That Will Have Discriminatory and Significant Adverse Impacts on the Latino Population of Kettleman City.

The County's approval of the KHF expansion adds to the already disproportionate burden of toxic pollution that Latinos in Kettleman City shoulder. The County chose to site and continually expand the largest toxic waste dump west of Louisiana within 3.5 miles of the town with the County's highest Latino concentration. The County failed to take the severe and repeated violations of environmental and other permit conditions into account. In spite of recent information indicating that the dump may be causing a drastic increase in birth defects and infant mortality, the County rushed its approval ahead before an investigation it called for itself could be completed.

1. Latino residents in Kettleman City are exposed to a disproportionate burden of toxic contamination.

Beyond the explanations provided by the Cerrell Report, there is little logical connection between the Kettleman area and the toxic waste being dumped there. Kings County produces less than three percent of the waste stream dumped at the Kettleman facility and Kettleman City produces none of that waste. KHF is one of only three hazardous waste facilities in California, and the only dump in the state which accepts PCBs, one of the most dangerous pollutants known to man. Residents, 93 percent of whom are Latino, have been at risk of exposure to toxic contaminants at KHF since 1979. The County failed to prevent the disproportionately high and adverse environmental and human impacts of the KHF expansion.

The County itself found that the air quality (periodic construction and operations impacts, long-term operations impacts) and land use (compatibility with Kings County Regional Transportation Plan) impacts of the Project will be "significant and unavoidable," despite mitigation efforts. *Id.* at 1-26, 1-42 (table 1-1). Moreover, the proposed Project would exceed the cancer risk standard at the KHF property boundary and contribute to the ongoing significant cumulative regional . . . air quality impacts . . ." *Id.* at 1-4, 5. No residents of

 California or Kings County towns where the majority of residents are white are exposed to these kinds of risks.

Despite the fact that Kettleman City bears almost the entire risk and impact of hosting the Chem Waste toxic waste dump, it receives virtually none of the substantial tax revenue which the dump yields to the County. In Kings County, the dump has produced millions of dollars of tax money per year, reaching almost 10 percent of the County's annual budget. Yet, while Kettleman City residents pay with their quality of life, they receive almost nothing back. Instead, the money is spent in areas populated by the County's white residents.

2. The County chose to rush a final vote through without properly evaluating the health impacts of the project.

Residents and their environmental justice allies have documented at least eleven birth defects—many of them life threatening—since late 2007. Six children were born with cleft palate. Since late 2007, three children have died as a result of complications related to their birth defects. Given that dozens of studies have linked PCB exposure to cleft palate and other health effects, and KHF is the only facility in California which accepts PCB, there is a logical suspicion that the KHF dump is linked to the extraordinary rise in birth defects. The County itself called for an investigation. Yet, instead of waiting for the investigation to conclude, the County chose to approve the Project. *Action Summary* at 4, (Dec. 22, 2009).

The County's permit process also failed to evaluate the impact on residents of the emissions of carcinogens and particulates from the hundreds of diesel trucks every day that travel next to and near Kettleman City on their way to and from the Chemical Waste Management facility. No testing of diesel emissions in Kettleman City has ever been performed, and these emissions have never been considered in evaluations of the impacts of the landfill operations.

The County's permit process and EIR also failed to properly evaluate cumulative impacts from the proposed permits. Residents are exposed to multiple pollution sources, including waste dumping and massive diesel emissions from thousands of diesel trucks

engaged in waste and freight transport on Highway 41 and Interstate 5. Residents are also exposed to toxic contaminated drinking water, pesticides, and the terrible air quality prevalent throughout the Valley (the U.S. EPA has designated the Valley as an extreme nonattainment area for the 1997 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard and a nonattainment area for the 1997 PM2.5 National Ambient Air Quality Standard).

3. The County's approval of the expansion failed to recognize KHF's atrocious history of environmental violation.

The County's permit was based on the incorrect assumption that KHF is a well-run and tightly regulated facility. Chem Waste has been fined repeatedly for violations at KHF. In 1984, EPA fined Chem Waste \$2.5 million for a total of 130 violations. Among other incidents, Chem Waste was charged with allowing leaks from the dump to contaminate local water supplies. In 1985, EPA and Chem Waste's parent company, Waste Management, Inc., agreed to a consent decree involving \$4 million in fines for failing to adequately monitor ground water and for mishandling hazardous waste, including PCBs, at the Kettleman Hills dump. In 2005, EPA and Chem Waste entered into a consent decree for extensive monitoring violations. The California Department of Health Services fined Chem Waste \$363,000 for eleven administrative and operational violations at the Kettleman dump.

Violations and fines have been levied against Chem Waste consistently and continue into the present year. On April 8, 2010, EPA issued Chem Waste a letter outlining that the company was engaged in improper disposal and improper handling of highly toxic materials. And, on May 27, 2010, EPA Region 9 issued a Notice of Compliance to Waste Management stating that, "the data quality control system at the KHF Laboratory is not adequate to ensure reliable analytical results," and "should not be used for decision making." The County's approval relied on an EIR that cited KHF laboratory results that EPA now calls into question.

 Further, extensive testimony has been presented to the County evidencing that improper disposal of radioactive waste has taken place at the landfill. The County ignored this evidence and approved KHF's permit.

B. Kings County Unlawfully and Intentionally Discriminated Against the Latino Residents of Kettleman City During the Administrative Process to Consider the Hazardous Waste Expansion.

Kings County intentionally discriminated against Latino residents by systematically limiting their participation in the decision-making process despite their protected status under the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Kings County excluded Latinos from meaningful participation in the Local Assessment Committee process, deprived Latinos access to permit information and documents due to the County's refusal to translate, denied Latinos access to the public hearings by setting hearings on inconvenient dates and times and in inaccessible locations, and routinely denied Spanish speakers equal time to testify as non-Spanish speakers. Finally, the County attempted to stifle participation from the Latino residents of Kettleman City through systematic county-initiated police harassment, intimidation and violence.

1. Kings County excluded Latinos and residents of Kettleman City from participating on the Local Assessment Committee.

The Tanner Act provides that:

The membership of the committee shall be broadly constituted to reflect the makeup of the community, and shall include three representatives of the community at large, two representatives of environmental or public interest groups, and two representatives of affected businesses and industries.

Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25199.7 (Tanner Act) (West 2010).

Despite the Tanner Act's requirement that the committee "reflect the makeup of the community," Kings County selected only one member who actually resides in Kettleman City. FSEIR, at 3-191 to -196. The lone Kettleman City resident on the LAC is a long-time and vocal supporter of Chemical Waste Management. In attempting to justify its selection by stating that the Tanner Act's requirement of "three representatives of the community at large" allows them to include residents of Hanford (31.8 miles away) and Avenal (13.5 miles away) as two of these representatives, the County seems to confuse the location of the government

representatives authorized to decide the fate of the project application with the location of the people who will actually be affected by the project. *Id.* In excluding residents of Kettleman City, the County ignores the purpose of the Tanner Act which is to ensure that those most impacted by the hazardous waste facility have a voice in deciding how those impacts will be mitigated. Here, those most impacted were entirely excluded from the process.

Additionally, throughout most of the process there was not a single Latino on the committee. Only when the process was about to conclude did Kings County select one Latino to be a member of the LAC. However, this member was unable to fully and effectively participate in the LAC process as his first language is Spanish yet all the LAC and permit documents were in English only. The lone Kettleman City resident on the LAC is African-American and a long-time and vocal supporter of Chemical Waste Management. The County notes that "[a]lthough non-Latino, Ms. Ware is a member of a minority group." *Id.* The County seems to believe that minority groups can be considered uniform, and that the appointment of an African-American representative in a 92.7 percent Latino community is adequate to represent the generalized "minority" viewpoint. By artificially grouping all "minorities" into one category, the County discriminated against the Latino members of this community and was unable to consider their unique viewpoint.

2. The County limited the ability of Spanish speakers to participate equally in the decision-making process.

Title VI prohibits not only discrimination based on race, but also national origin. This protects language minorities, such as Spanish speakers, from unfair exclusion of the benefits afforded to non-minorities. In recognition of this requirement, the DOT provides guidance to

^{11 25} Ecology L.Q. 752. See also Sheila Foster, Environmental Justice in an Era of Devolved.

Collaboration, 26 Harv. Envil. L. Rev. 459, 490-91 (2002) ("In both communities where the advisory committees were deemed "unsuccessful," the committee was widely considered to be both geographically and demographically unrepresentative of the community where the proposed facility was to be located" (citations omitted)).

help aid recipients to "ensure meaningful access to programs and activities by persons with limited English proficiency." FTA Circular 4702.1A.

However, despite losing on this very issue during a legal proceeding 19 years ago, Kings County again consistently refused to translate permit documents or public hearings into Spanish, denying the people most affected by the proposed project the ability to fully participate. When translators were present, they were provided by Chem Waste (*FSEIR* at 3-200), an interested and biased party in the proceeding. Kings County Planning Commission, *Meeting Transcript* at 152: 16-19, October 5, 2009.

Kings County boldly and blatantly discriminated against language minorities during the permit hearing when Spanish-speakers were allowed only half the time to testify as English-speakers. While English speakers were allotted a full five uninterrupted minutes to testify, the County allowed the Spanish-speaking Latinos only 2 ½ minutes to testify – using the other 2 ½ minutes to have the translators paid for by the toxic waste company translate the testimony into English. *Id*.

The County's justification of this procedure—that the same process was used for Spanish-speakers supporting Chem Waste as that used for Spanish-speakers opposed to it—highlight the County's misconception of its responsibilities under Title VI. *Id.* at 152:20-22. The County essentially believes that it is acceptable to discriminate against Spanish-speaking community members as long as they discriminate against all Spanish-speakers regardless of viewpoint. However, the County is required to avoid all discrimination in its permitting process.

This language discrimination is not a one-time occurrence in Kings County. The County is one of only three counties in California that is required to obtain pre-clearance before changing county voting laws.¹² This means that Kings County has to demonstrate to the U.S.

¹² United States Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, Section 5 Cavered Jurisdictions, http://www.justice.gov/crl/voting/sec_5/covered.php#counties (last accessed May 27, 2010).

 vote on account of race, color, or membership in a language minority group.

Department of Justice that any proposed voting change does not deny or abridge the right to

By instituting a pattern of practices to limit opportunities available to Spanish speakers to effectively participate in the decision-making process, Kings County has intentionally discriminated Kettleman City residents on the basis of their national origin. This far exceeds the showing of disparate impact necessary to find a violation of Title VI...

3. Kings County instituted policies and practices that had the effect of limiting the participation of Latinos in Kettleman City.

Kings County held meetings and hearings to consider the expansion at times and locations inconvenient and inaccessible to Kettleman City residents. This is in line with the County's history of creating as inconvenient and inaccessible a process as possible to minimize public criticism of the project. In 1991, the County's final push to permit the incinerator took place through the holiday season with the final vote on January 3. Kings County used a similar approach this time, and voted to approve the expansion on December 22, 2009.

The County also used unnecessarily heavy police and canine presence during the public hearings which had the effect and, likely, purpose of intimidating Kettleman City residents, many of whom have uncertain immigration status. Kings County contracted for over 40 police officers and sheriffs to patrol the hearings, in addition to its normal security staff. The Kings County Planning Commission asked police to forcibly remove an elderly resident who objected to the lack of translation. When eight to nine officers responded and surrounded the resident to escort him from the premise they also knocked over an elderly woman. The clear excess in police presence and force had the effect of limiting the public participation of Latinos and Mexican immigrants, both protected classes under Title VI.

VII. REMEDIES

Under DOT regulations, DOT may use any means authorized by law to obtain compliance with Title VI.¹³ DOT regulations require a recipient who has previously

^{13 40} C.F.R. § 7.130(a); 24 C.F.R. § 1.8(a).

discriminated on the basis of race to take affirmative action to provide remedies to those who have been injured by the discrimination.¹⁴

In order to provide effective remedies for the discrimination set forth in this Complaint, the DOT should require as a condition of continuing to provide federal financial assistance to Kings County that the County:

- (1) Reverse its December 2009 decision to approve the expansion of the hazardous waste facility due to substantial violations of Title VI.
 - (2) Cease permitting landfills in communities of color, particularly in Kettleman City.
- (3) Require that future LAC's in communities of color be legitimate and truly representative of the population as a condition of continuing federal financial assistance.
- (4) Translate County documents to Spanish for projects affecting Kings County's Latino population.
 - (5) Provide translation at all County hearings and meetings.
- (6) Sue to compel compliance with the law, to the extent that imposition of the foregoing remedies prove in any way to be ineffectual.
- (7) Provide complainants with copies of all correspondence to or from Kings County throughout the course of the investigation, deliberation and disposition of this Complaint.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Kings County's decision of December 22, 2009 to approve the expansion the Chem Waste Hazardous Waste Facility has a disparate impact on the Latino residents of Kettleman City. Moreover, Kings County intentionally enacted policies and practices during the administrative process to approve the expansion that discriminated against Latinos and Spanish speakers. These are violations of DOT's Title VI regulations. As this Complaint makes clear, residents of Kettleman City are being forced to continue to live next door to a hazardous waste facility that serves the entire State, but were effectively excluded from the only processes by which they could have protected their community from the expansion.

^{14 40} C.F.R. § 7.35(a)(7).